

# Small Cap Core

## Portfolio Update: Third Quarter 2023

During the third quarter ending September 30, 2023, the RMB Small Cap Core Equity Composite (the "Strategy") returned -3.78%, gross of fees, (-3.99%, net of fees), compared to a -5.13% for the Russell 2000® Index.

	3 Months	YTD	1 Year	3 Years	5 Years	10 Years	Since Inception (Annualized)
Small Cap Core (Gross)	-3.78%	+6.08%	+11.21%	+9.40%	+5.32%	+8.39%	+10.48%
Small Cap Core (Net)	-3.99%	+5.40%	+10.25%	+8.48%	+4.36%	+7.37%	+9.40%
Russell 2000® Index	-5.13%	+2.54%	+8.93%	+7.16%	+2.40%	+6.65%	+7.40%

*Inception date: April 30, 1999. Please see important disclosures at the end of this document. Past performance is not indicative of future results, and there is a risk of loss of all or part of your investment. Data as of September 30, 2023. RMB Capital acquired the composite by combining with IronBridge Capital Management on June 24, 2017. Composite performance prior to that date was achieved by IronBridge Capital Management. Refer to important information regarding performance and fees at the end of the document.*

Stocks wobbled amid greater competition from higher real interest rates. During the quarter bond investors embraced the idea that the economy is exiting the era of free money circa 2007-2022. The 10-year Treasury yield increased to 4.57% from 3.81%, even though inflation continued to decline to 3.67% (YoY Core CPI). Real yields turned positive for the first time in 15 years to 1.6% from -2%. The catalyst for the historic spike in yields was the Federal Reserve's hawkish position that rates must remain higher for longer than investors anticipated.

GDP growth continued to defy recession fears coming in at 2.1%. Earnings continued to beat expectations as 69% of the Strategy's holdings met or exceeded expectations compared to 58% for the Russell 2000. Analysts increased earnings expectations for the first time in five quarters (since Q2 2022).

The thesis that the economy is exiting the era of free money has important implications for investors, which we will address in the outlook, but one implication concerning stock correlation and dispersion should be addressed in this section.

Free money meant a "free ride" for investors because the primary risk driving returns was the decline in the cost of capital combined with the constant addition of liquidity into the financial system. Excess liquidity increases the correlation among all assets and individual stocks. Warren Buffet communicated the idea by famously reminding investors that "a rising tide lifts all boats." That meant most assets provided attractive returns, stocks, bonds, real estate, private equity, private credit (doesn't matter which companies) all "rising."

The chart below shows that stock correlations rose from 40% in 2006 to 80% by 2012 and remained elevated until 2016. In 2017, the Federal Reserve attempted to slowly exit "free money," and stock correlations started to fall again, but the process was interrupted by the COVID crisis, when the Fed dropped interest rates to zero and the flooded the financial system with liquidity. So, correlations spiked again.

# Small Cap Core


**Exhibit 1. S&P Stock Correlations**



Source: Bespoke Investment Group.

If we are exiting the era of free money, the tide is going out which may be a headwind for financial assets as well as drive down asset and company correlations. Lower correlations result in greater company specific dispersion which may create greater opportunity for active managers to add value over benchmarks.

**Exhibit 2: Company Specific Dispersion**



Source: CBOE / RMB Research Core.; Company specific dispersion within the S&P 500 Index; Data as of 9/30/23.

Of course, for active managers to add value, they must be on the right side of dispersion. Fortunately for our investors, we were on the right side of dispersion this quarter.

# Small Cap Core

## Contributors and Detractors

Contributors benefited from better-than-expected company specific execution.

Carpenter Technology Corp. (CRS) is a turnaround in the corporate life cycle, early in its transition to higher economic returns. CEO Tony There has been working on improvement for several years, as the management team allocated capital away from commodity steel toward producing specialty alloy and performance engineered steel used in aerospace and medical technology. CRS delivered another very strong quarter and Tony sees a path to significantly increase earnings for several years.

Repligen Corp. (RGEN) is a pick and shovel supplier to the bioprocessing industry led by a skilled management team that focuses on bolt-on M&A and innovation to expand its addressable market and take market share. The entire bioprocessing space has been facing multiple headwinds, including inventory de-stocking coming off of COVID-related demand, emerging biotechs conserving cash and prioritizing pipelines, and uncertainty about demand in China. Management provided optimistic commentary that these headwinds are closer to the bottom and expects a return to more normal conditions in 4Q, hopefully driving growth in 2024.

Portfolio detractors were on the wrong side of dispersion as company specific execution disappointed.

Like Repligen, BioLife Solutions Inc. (BLFS) is also a pick and shovel supplier to the bioprocessing industry and is facing many of the similar headwinds discussed above. However, BLFS is also a smaller-cap company with a more concentrated customer base that can lead to more volatile changes in revenue growth. Further, BLFS is in the process of disposing their freezer business by the end of the year. After this disposal, BLFS will have a streamlined portfolio of recurring, high margin consumables used to preserve cells for cell and gene therapies which may result in higher ROIs going forward. When combined with the improving macro conditions, we still have conviction in BLFS' long-term opportunities.

Omniceil Inc. (OMCL) was the largest detractor during the quarter. Despite reporting a beat and raise, the stock subsequently declined during the quarter as investors are becoming increasingly concerned about the hospital cap ex end market. Specifically, concerns about wage inflation / labor constraints and higher interest rates may pressure hospital cap ex spending. We believe that OMCL provides a value-added solution to mitigate wage inflation and labor constraints and demand should accelerate as hospital's cash flows improve but we did take a well-timed trim prior to the sell-off in light of these concerns.

## Portfolio Activity

We are long-term owners of businesses. Therefore, turnover is typically low, but driven by opportunistic adds or trims. During the quarter the team made two trims and two adds. We trimmed Omnicell Inc. (OMCL) after it rebounded to our

### Small Cap Core

#### THIRD QUARTER 2023 CONTRIBUTION REPORT

Ranked by Basis Point Contribution

	Basis Point Contribution	Average Weight
<b>Top Contributors</b>		
Carpenter Technology Corp.	+53	3.02%
Repligen Corp.	+27	2.89%
Matador Resources Co.	+25	2.11%
Curtiss-Wright Corp.	+18	3.35%
Range Resources Corp.	+18	1.95%
<b>Bottom Detractors</b>		
Omniceil Inc.	-52	1.12%
Monolithic Power Systems Inc.	-48	3.37%
BioLife Solutions Inc.	-44	0.93%
Eagle Materials Inc.	-35	3.28%
Valmont Industries, Inc.	-32	1.81%

*Past performance is not indicative of future results, and there is a risk of loss of all or part of your investment. The above does not represent all holdings in the Strategy. Holdings listed might not have been held for the full period. To obtain a copy of RMB's calculation methodology and a list of all holdings with contribution analysis, please contact your service team. The data provided is supplemental. Please see important disclosures at the end of this document.*

# Small Cap Core

target price of \$71. We also trimmed Catalent Inc. (CTLT) to reflect its riskier payoff structure as a turnaround company, with higher-than-average leverage. We added to banks that we believe were “babies thrown out with the bathwater” where investors may have mis-priced risk. We added to Stock Yards Bancorp Inc. (SYBT) where we believe the deposit risk fears are overblown, since it is a relationship-based bank with solid and sticky banking relationships and has above average fee income. Therefore, we believe SYBT is less dependent on net interest margin for cash flow. We added to Veritex Holdings Inc. (VBTX) where fears of net interest margin compression may be overblown since they have a high percentage of variable loans not as sensitive to rising rates, which also acts as a hedge to deposit risk.


## Outlook

In last quarter’s outlook, we discussed the potential mispricing of risk associated with years of free money, which has important potential implications for investors as we exit this period.

### Quality Counts more now:

The corollary to Warren Buffet’s “rising tide” is “when the tide goes out, it is easy to see who is naked”. The tide is going out with tightening credit conditions and access to capital. Companies that maintain a conservative capital structure and disciplined capital allocation can continue to create value since their business models were never dependent on access to free money. However, the “naked” (low quality) companies with poorly developed business models, weaker competitive positions, poor balance sheets are starting to fall. The chart below shows the rising number of bankruptcies.

### Exhibit 3. Credit Spreads are not Reflecting Real Economy




Source: Bloomberg.

It is interesting that credit spreads have yet to reflect this, which infers many lower quality companies are still mispriced with significant downside.

# Small Cap Core

**Exhibit 4. U.S. Corporate Bond Spreads Over Treasuries**



Source: RMB Capital. Data as of September 30, 2023. Past performance is not indicative of future results, and there is a risk of loss of all or part of your investment. Yellow shaded areas indicate time periods when credit spreads were falling.

We recently spoke to a credit expert, who believes that 20% of the Russell 2000® companies may go bankrupt in the next credit cycle. The credit risk factor has not woken up yet, but it is significant in the Russell 2000® passive ETF (IWM).

Risk Control more important now:

Excess liquidity and negative real interest rates suppressed volatility increasing the risk of a Minsky moment as liquidity becomes scarcer and rates rise. A Minsky moment refers to how stability can sow the seeds of instability. We experienced a Minsky moment in the first quarter for the banking industry, with the sudden collapse of First Republic Bank (FRC) and SVB Financial Group (SIVB) where risk officers became too complacent about the duration and deposit risk in their portfolios. Duration risk slept during the era of free money, and when it woke up the industry was not prepared and needed emergency help from the Federal Reserve to stave off a massive run on U.S. banks. What risks are lurking in passive benchmarks? Interest rate risk woke up in the bond market where investors have experienced a negative total return over the last seven years.

The Bloomberg 20-year U.S. Treasury Index experienced a 49% decline since July 2020<sup>1</sup>. The historically low volatility “safe” investment in bonds was considered safe because it lacked credit risk. However, it ALWAYS has inflation risk, and because inflation was a non-issue for the past 20 years, investors who ignored that risk believing the investment was safe suffered the consequences of accepting unintended risk. Risk control is not backward-looking volatility, but rather it is clarity about forward looking risk you believe is mispriced in the valuation of the asset you own. Credit and liquidity risk are lurking within the Russell 2000®. Idiosyncratic risks (bubble risks) in the S&P 500 index, where 8 companies account for 87% of the benchmark’s +13.07% YTD return. Without those 8 stocks the benchmark would be up only +1.67% for the year.

Investor clarity around intended risk is crucial as we exit a period of high correlation where everybody wins and enter a period of higher dispersion where there is a wider spread between winners and losers for both asset classes and individual companies. Winners will be intended risks that work out. Losers will be intended risks that don’t work out, but what investors can’t tolerate is UNINTENDED risks that don’t work out where volatility goes from low to high. Good risk control is about making sure investors are positioned for clarity around intended risks and minimize unintended risks.

The intended risks in the Strategy are primarily company specific. We own what we believe to be the best stewards of capital skewed toward high management skill with a proven track record of capital allocation consistent with long term

<sup>1</sup> Source: FactSet, as of 9/30/23.

# Small Cap Core

value creation, low credit risk, relatively stable business models and greater liquidity. To control unintended risk the portfolio is diversified by sector and lifecycle within a +/- 5% risk tolerance. We cannot control systematic risk associated with a potential liquidity crisis from the Federal Reserve potentially "breaking something", but we will exploit opportunities that arise if that happens.

In last quarter's outlook we highlighted mispriced risks, contradictory signals in markets and skewed toward more caution. The process of re-pricing risk (specifically inflation risk) is starting to play out in the bond market. We believe credit risk is likely next. Time will tell whether inflation can fall to the Fed's target of 2%, whether the economy will have a soft, hard or no landing. Our portfolio construction risk control process is built for that uncertainty. We are comfortable with our current skew toward more caution (intended risk) but have a long list of buy candidates if a change in that view is warranted.

Our long-term outlook never wavers. By owning what we believe to be the best allocators of capital with skilled management teams that demonstrate knowledge building cultures, adaptability, and capital allocation consistent with long-term value creation, we believe we can own a portfolio of smaller companies that will grow to become larger companies, thereby providing our investors attractive long-term risk adjusted returns.

Thank you for your commitment to the Strategy.

Sincerely,



Chris Faber  
Portfolio Manager

## TOP TEN HOLDINGS AS OF 9/30/23

<b>Company</b>	<b>% of Assets</b>
West Pharmaceutical Services Inc.	4.60%
EastGroup Properties Inc.	3.64%
PTC Inc.	3.59%
Curtiss-Wright Corp.	3.56%
Pool Corp.	3.34%
ITT Inc.	3.33%
Carpenter Technology Corp.	3.32%
Kadant Inc.	3.10%
Monolithic Power Systems Inc.	3.08%
Fair Isaac Corp.	3.06%

*Holdings are subject to change. Past performance is not indicative of future results, and there is risk of loss of all or part of your investment. The data provided is supplemental. Please see disclosures at the end of this document.*



# Small Cap Core

The opinions and analyses expressed in this newsletter are based on RMB Capital Management, LLC's ("RMB Capital") research and professional experience and are expressed as of the date of our mailing of this presentation. Certain information expressed represents an assessment at a specific point in time and is not intended to be a forecast or guarantee of future performance, nor is it intended to speak to any future time periods. RMB Capital makes no warranty or representation, express or implied, nor does RMB Capital accept any liability, with respect to the information and data set forth herein, and RMB Capital specifically disclaims any duty to update any of the information and data contained in this newsletter. The information and data in this presentation does not constitute legal, tax, accounting, investment or other professional advice. Past performance is not indicative of future results, and there is a risk of loss of all or part of your investment. This information is confidential and may not be reproduced or redistributed to any other party without the permission of RMB Capital. RMB Asset Management is a division of RMB Capital Management.

An investment cannot be made directly in an index. The index data assumes reinvestment of all income and does not bear fees, taxes, or transaction costs. The investment strategy and types of securities held by the comparison index may be substantially different from the investment strategy and types of securities held by the strategies. The benchmarks are shown for comparison purposes and are fully invested and include the reinvestment of income. The Russell 2000<sup>®</sup> is a subset of the Russell 3000<sup>®</sup> Index, representing about 8% of the total market capitalization of that index. It includes approximately 2000 of the smallest securities based on a combination of their market cap and current index membership. The Russell 2500<sup>®</sup> is a subset of the Russell 3000<sup>®</sup>, including approximately 2500 of the smallest securities based on their market cap and current index membership. The strategies include small- to mid-cap equity portfolios. The strategies may target investments in companies with relatively small market capitalizations (generally between \$500 million and \$10 billion at the time of initial purchase), that are undervalued as suggested by RMB Capital's proprietary economic return framework. The S&P 500 is widely regarded as the best single gauge of the United States equity market. It includes 500 leading companies in leading industries of the US economy. The S&P 500 focuses on the large cap segment of the market and covers approximately 75% of US equities. The Russell 2000<sup>®</sup> Value Index tracks the performance of companies with lower price-to-book ratios, which shows a company's market price relative to its balance sheet. The Russell 2000<sup>®</sup> Growth Index is a subset of companies with higher price-to-book ratios, or those expected to have higher growth values in the future.

**Basis Point (bps):** A unit that is equal to 1/100th of 1% and is used to denote the change in a financial instrument.

**Correlation** is a statistic that measures the degree to which two securities move in relation to each other.

**Dispersion** refers to the range of potential outcomes of investments based on historical volatility or returns.

## RMB Asset Management Small Cap Core Composite // GIPS Report

**Organization** | RMB Capital Management, LLC ("RMB Capital") is an independent investment advisor registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and established in 2005. The GIPS firm is defined as RMB Asset Management ("RMB AM"), a division of RMB Capital Management, LLC. Previously, the firm was defined as RMB Capital and was redefined on January 1, 2016 to only include the asset management business due to the difference in how its investment strategies and services are offered. RMB AM claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS<sup>®</sup>) and has prepared and presented this report in compliance with the GIPS standards. RMB AM has been independently verified for the periods April 1, 2005 through December 31, 2020. The verification report(s) is/are available upon request. A firm that claims compliance with the GIPS standards must establish policies and procedures for complying with all the applicable requirements of the GIPS standards. Verification provides assurance on whether the firm's policies and procedures related to composite and pooled fund maintenance, as well as the calculation, presentation, and distribution of performance, have been designed in compliance with the GIPS standards and have been implemented on a firm-wide basis. Verification does not provide assurance on the accuracy of any specific performance report.

**Description** | The Small Cap Core Strategy product reflects the performance of fully discretionary equity accounts, which have an investment objective of long-term growth using a portfolio of primarily small-cap stocks and for comparison purposes is measured against the Russell 2000<sup>®</sup> index. The inception date of the Small Cap Composite is April 30, 1999 and the Composite was created on March 31, 2002. The composite includes small cap equity portfolios invested in undervalued companies as suggested by RMB Capital's proprietary economic return framework, with relatively small market capitalizations (generally under \$2.5 billion at the time of initial purchase) and with both growth and value attributes. Valuations and returns are computed and stated in U.S. Dollars. Effective November 2021, Jeff Madden is no longer a portfolio manager for the strategy. There is no change to the strategy's investment process.

# Small Cap Core

## ANNUAL PERFORMANCE RELATIVE TO STATED BENCHMARK

Year End	Composite Assets			Annual Performance Results					
	Total Firm Assets* as of 12/31 (\$M)	USD (\$M)	# of Accounts Managed	Composite Gross-of-Fees (%)	Composite Net-of-Fees (%)	Russell 2000* (%)	Composite 3-YR ST DEV (%)	Russell 2000* 3-YR ST DEV (%)	Composite Dispersion (%)
2022	5,228.66	89.70	<5	-23.96	-24.60	-20.44	24.04	26.02	0.00
2021	6,277.61	141.63	<5	25.60	24.55	14.82	20.18	23.35	0.00
2020	5,240.59	116.94	<5	19.38	18.28	19.96	22.02	25.27	0.00
2019	4,947.90	118.03	<5	28.91	27.57	25.52	13.97	15.71	0.34
2018	4,196.90	117.54	<5	-4.54	-5.40	-11.01	13.52	15.79	0.29
2017	3,610.61	453.90	6	11.70	10.59	14.65	11.58	13.91	0.30
2016	NA	723.21	7	15.06	13.93	21.31	13.20	15.76	0.16
2015	NA	684.92	10	-0.98	-1.97	-4.41	12.61	13.96	0.17
2014	NA	714.83	5	7.46	6.39	4.89	12.01	16.59	0.25
2013	NA	868.35	8	34.58	33.27	38.82	15.56	16.45	0.22

\*RMB Capital acquired the composite by combining with IronBridge Capital Management on June 24, 2017. Firm assets prior to 2017 are not presented as the composite was not part of the firm. RMB Capital acquired the composite by combining with IronBridge Capital Management on June 24, 2017. Composite performance prior to that date was achieved by IronBridge Capital Management.

**Fees** | The standard management fee is 1% of assets annually, which is also our highest applicable fee. Actual investment advisory fees incurred by clients may vary. Composite performance is presented on a gross-of-fees and net-of-fees basis and includes the reinvestment of all income. For periods prior to 2018, net returns are computed by subtracting the highest applicable fee (1% on an annual basis, or 0.083% monthly) on a monthly basis from the gross composite monthly return, and the resulting monthly net figures are compounded to calculate the annual net return. Beginning in 2018, net returns are reduced by actual management fees and transactions costs incurred. The annual composite dispersion is an asset-weighted standard deviation calculated for the accounts in the Composite the entire year. Prior to 2018, internal dispersion was calculated using the equal weighted standard deviation for the accounts in the Composite the entire year. Risk measures presented are calculated using gross-of-fees performance. Policies for valuing investments, calculating performance, and preparing GIPS Reports are available upon request.

**Minimum Value Threshold** | The account minimum in the Small Cap Core product is currently \$2 million. Prior to January 1, 2015, the composite excluded portfolios under \$5 million.

**Comparison with Market Indices** | RMB compares its Composite returns to a variety of market indices. These indices represent unmanaged portfolios whose characteristics differ from the Composite portfolios; however, they tend to represent the investment environment existing during the time period shown. The returns of the indices do not include any transaction costs, management fees, or other costs. Benchmark returns presented are not covered by the report of independent verifiers. The benchmark for the Small Cap Core composite is the Russell 2000<sup>®</sup> Index, which for comparison purposes is fully invested and includes the reinvestment of income. The Russell 2000<sup>®</sup> is a subset of the Russell 3000<sup>®</sup> Index, representing about 8% of the total market capitalization of that index. It includes approximately 2000 of the smallest securities based on a combination of their market cap and current index membership. The Russell 2000<sup>®</sup> index is an unmanaged index that is designed to measure the small cap segment of the U.S. equity universe. The index does not reflect investment management fees, brokerage commissions, or other expenses associated with investing in equity securities. You cannot invest directly in an index.

**Other** | Past performance is no guarantee of future performance. Historical rates of return may not be indicative of future rates of return. Individual client performance returns may be different than the composite returns listed. GIPS<sup>®</sup> is a registered trademark of CFA Institute. CFA Institute does not endorse or promote this organization, nor does it warrant the accuracy or quality of the content contained herein. A list of Composite Descriptions and a list of Broad Distribution Pooled Funds are available upon request.